

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/687,546 | Applicant(s) STROBEL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Deborah K. Ware | Art Unit 1651 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: After Final

 (1) Deborah K. Ware.

(3) _____.

 (2) Karri Kuenzli Bradley, Ph.D..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 April 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, first paragraph-deposit issue

Claims discussed:

claims 1-12

Prior art documents discussed:

Strobel et al (6911338)
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicants' Representative provided a statement that they provide appropriate deposit information as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment and further authorized changes by Examiner's Amendment to put the claims into condition for allowance
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)